

Hahn Loeser • ParksRECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FAX TRANSMISSION

MAY 05 2005

One GOJO Plaza
Suite 300
Akron, Ohio 44311-1076
www.hahnlaw.comTelephone: 330.864.5550
Facsimile: 330.864.7986
Cleveland ▲ Columbus ▲ AkronFROM: Robert J. Clark
DATE: May 6, 2005
SUBJECT: Serial No. 10/806,671Number of Pages: 4
(including this page)
Client Code: 115838.00110
SEND BY: Facsimile

TO: Examiner Russell D. Stormer

Facsimile Number: 703-872-9306

SENDER'S COMMENTS:

Attached:

1) Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form + Attachments (2)

Thank you,


Robert J. ClarkThis will be the only form of delivery of transmitted documents. ☒This transmission will also be sent by: ☐ Mail ☐ Messenger ☐ Overnight Delivery

The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this document in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (you may call us collect at 216.621.0150 ext. 2272), and return the original facsimile to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10 806,671 First Named Applicant: Robert D. Fogal, Sr.
 Examiner: Stormer, Russell D. Art Unit: 3617 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Stormer (2) Robert D. Fogal, Sr.
 (3) Robert D. Fogal, Jr. (4) Robert J. Clark

Proposed Date of Interview: 05/17/05 Proposed Time: 10:00 (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: Video showing prior art and invention

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>Claim 25</u>	<u>Johnson/Lead</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>Claim 1</u>	<u>Johnson</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>Claim 29</u>	<u>Johnson/Lead</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached					

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

That the added claim requirements distinguish over the prior art of record.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

 Examiner/SPE Signature

 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

 Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Interview with Examiner Stormer regarding Application S/N 10/806.671
May 17, 2004

- Introduction
 - Highlight inventors experience in field of balancing
 - Show examples of the cartridge balance weights
- Overview of highlights of claim amendments
 - Method claim 25 now requires
 - step of determining amount of imbalance and a correction location for the imbalance
 - step of attaching cartridge balance weight to the non-pressurized side of the wheel
 - Apparatus claim 1 now requires
 - cartridge attached to tire wheel assembly
 - cartridge includes adhesive
 - cartridge positioned on non-pressurized side of the tubewell
- Video
 - Steps of standard lead weight balancing of tire/wheel assembly
 - Tire/wheel assembly balances out on a spin balancer
 - Steps of cartridge weight balancing of tire/wheel assembly
 - Tire/wheel assembly balances out on a spin balancer
 - Use of multiple Johnson cartridges – pressure side of tubewell
 - **Tire/wheel assembly does not balance out on a spin balancer**
 - Use of multiple cartridges – non-pressure side of wheel – 360 degrees
 - **Tire/wheel assembly does not balance out on a spin balancer**
 - Adding the cartridge weight of the present invention as claimed to the tire/wheel assembly having multiple cartridges – non-pressure side of wheel – 360 degrees
 - **Tire/wheel assembly DOES balance out on a spin balancer**
- Advantages of present invention over prior art lead weight balancing
 - Flowable material can adjust position if balance location changes in use or if attached slightly off the exact location for imbalance correction by balance machine operator
 - Less vibration – dampening effect
 - Better balance – actual balance as opposed to within machine tolerances
- Why amended claims are patentable over prior art
 - Novelty
 - there is no prior art that anticipates the claimed invention
 - Non-Obviousness
 - there is prior art that when combined provides the present invention
 - no motivation, suggestion, or teaching to combine lead weight balancing with flowable 360 degree balance rings or cartridges
 - No additional searching should be required by claim amendments
 - Amended claims are narrower than originally claimed invention